South Korea Infrastructure - July 2023

Goesan Dam is about 15000ML in volume and is in the upper reaches of the Han River catchment that eventually flows through Seoul. It has a catchment area of 671 km². The issue for the dam was that the calculated inflows exceeded the design outflow capacity of the gates by some margin (10.5%), with warnings of potential dam failure conveyed in the media, and picked up internationally as the flood level exceeded the maximum planned. Data provided by Han River Flood Control Office.

In Osang, a levee at the Miho River collapsed allowing rapid inundation of a 685-metre-long road tunnel with 14 fatalities. There was significant criticism of emergency managers with the likely failure identified 1 hour prior to occurrence. A key focus of the police investigation was management and maintenance of the embankment.



Data provided by Han River Flood Control Office.

Resources available

- Rainfall data unofficial
- Reservoir data official
- Media coverage

Useful to

- Regulators
- Dam owners
- Engineers
- Disaster & emergency
- managers
- Boards

How do we rely on forecasts for gate operations? What scenarios might eventuate and are they within our risk appetite?

Governance Questions

Do we have a

fail?

Are roles and responsibilities during emergencies clear with integrated stakeholder planning?

Learnings Checklist

| Category | Event | Learning/prevention activity |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Asset management and operational risk | Significant increase in rainfall intensity when dam already discharging about 50% of capacity (<i>data</i>) | Rapid change in situation at dam from emergency to crisis. Gated present greater risk when there is a significant variance between of operational conditions as the lake level can be influenced by thos assumptions through gate operation settings especially with embr storms during longer duration events. |
| Planning and continuity | Forecast dam failure based on dam inflow assessments and outflow predictions. (Han River Flood District). Early assessment allowed evacuation of 6400 people downstream (BBC) | Clear triggers in an agreed plan for action points mean no decisior required during the event. |
| Asset management and operational risk | Exceedance of design criteria. | Low risk isn't no risk. |
| Risk and stakeholder | Rapid flooding of Gungpyeong No. 2 road tunnel in Osang. (<i>The Guardian</i>) 14 fatalities. Local authorities advised they complied with their emergency plan which didn't require tunnel closure. (<i>Korea Times</i>). The levee, and tunnel risk were managed by separate entities. | Compliance with a plan doesn't mean safe. Management of risk 'i reasonably practical' means a post event assessment that maybe the intent of the plan outcomes – not if followed. |
| Unmanaged risk | Rapid flooding of Gungpyeong No. 2 road tunnel in Osang. (YONHAP news agency) caused by levee failure. Investigation into those involved in design, construction, and maintenance of levee. Judgement found them liable. | Roles and responsibilities need to be clearly defined, and risk assu under different design loads. The embankment was effectively a d large river flows. Non-regulated structures still require risks to be r |

culture of assuming dams will never



How might teams cope in a serious situation? How do we support during, and post event? Do we provide mental health training?

Do we have any obligations or unmanaged risk with embankments that could fail that are not regulated?

Question?

| ms may gn and esign led | How would the asset perform with a large inflow in a short period associated with a convective burst within a larger storm event? |
|----------------------------------|---|
| | Do we have clear evacuation triggers, identified in advance, in a multi agency setting? |
| | Do we assume dams will never fail? How does this play out in culture, and the application of systems and processes? |
| o far as sed on | How can we ensure our plan meets the intent of having it in place? |
| ed during naged. | Is there a risk to the public safety from infrastructure that may not be regulated? |